	Case 3:08-cr-00084-LRH-RAM Document 69 Filed 04/10/14 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * * *
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) 3:08-CR-00084-LRH-RAM
10	Plaintiff, ) ORDER
11	vs.
12	MARTIN DELACRUZ,
13	Defendant.
14	
15	On April 19, 2014, the Court entered an Order denying Defendant's Motion for a <i>Nunc</i>
16	Pro Tunc Sentencing Entry, or in the Alternative, Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct
17	Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 for lack of jurisdiction.
18	Doc. #68 <sup>1</sup> . Accordingly, the Court shall deny Defendant's Motion for the Court to Enforce
19	Sentence Imposed in State Case (Doc. #58) and Defendant's Motion for Jurisdictional
20	Determination (Doc. #54) as moot.
21	The Court shall also deny as moot Defendant's Motion for Production of Transcripts at
22	Government Expense (Doc. #52). Defendant's Motion indicated that his request was for
23	appellate purposes, however, he failed to file an appeal within thirty (30) days of the Court's
24	judgment. Moreover, on December 17, 2012, the Court entered an Order directing preparation of
25	Defendant's sentencing hearing transcripts at the United States' expense. Doc. #61.
26	Accordingly, to the extent Defendant's request concerned the production of transcripts related to
27	his Motion for a <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> Sentencing Entry, or in the Alternative, Motion to Vacate, Set
28	
	<sup>1</sup> Refers to court's docket number.

1	Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc.
2	#63), it was fulfilled.
3	The Court shall also deny Defendant's Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis
4	(Doc. #51). Leave of the Court to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> is not necessary to pursue the type
5	of post-judgment relief Defendant has sought in this case.
6	Finally, the Court shall deny Defendant's Motion for Withdrawal of Attorney and
7	Delivery of Records (Doc. #50). Defendant's counsel has been withdrawn and he has made no
8	showing of a remaining right to pursue post-judgment relief in this case. Accordingly, his
9	request for delivery of records is moot at this time.
10	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for the Court to Enforce
11	Sentence Imposed in State Case (Doc. #58) and Defendant's Motion for Jurisdictional
12	Determination (Doc. #54) are <b>DENIED as moot</b> .
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Production of Transcripts at
14	Government Expense (Doc. #52) is <b>DENIED as moot</b> .
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma
16	pauperis (Doc. #51) is <b>DENIED as moot</b> .
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Withdrawal of Attorney and
18	Delivery of Records (Doc. #50) is <b>DENIED as moot.</b>
19	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	DATED this 10th day of April, 2014.
22	I ADDV R HICKS
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	